

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

TROVER GROUP, INC., and
THE SECURITY CENTER, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAY SECURITY GROUP USA LLC

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-087
JURY DEMAND

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiffs Trover Group, Inc. and The Security Center, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs" or "Security Center") file this, their Original Complaint for patent infringement. Plaintiffs assert claims for patent infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,751,345 ("the '345 Patent") and/or 5,751,346 ("the '346 Patent"), copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits "A" and "B" against Defendant Say Security Group USA, LLC under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.* In support thereof, Plaintiffs Trover Group, Inc. and Security Center, Inc. would respectfully show the Court the following:

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Trover Group, Inc. ("Trover") is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 101 East Park Blvd., Suite 600, Plano, Texas 75074. Trover was formerly known as Dozier Financial Corporation.

3. Plaintiff The Security Center, Inc. ("Security Center") is a Texas corporation with its principal place of business located at 10750 Forest Lane, Dallas, Texas 75243. Plaintiffs Security Center and Trover are sister corporations.

4. Defendant Say Security Group USA LLC (“Say”) is a limited liability company that has its principal place of business located at 520 E. Montford Ave., Ada, Ohio 45810. Say does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas. Say does not maintain an agent for service of process in Texas. Accordingly, Say may be served through the Texas Secretary of State under the Texas Long Arm Statute.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over this case for patent infringement under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Say. Say conducts business within the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas. Say directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others) ships, distributes, offers for sale, sells, and advertises its products in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Say has purposefully and voluntarily placed infringing products in the stream of commerce with the expectation that its products will be purchased by end users in the Eastern District of Texas. Say has sold and/or installed infringing products for Longview Transit, located in Longview, Texas, for the Nederland Independent School District, located in Nederland, Texas, and for the East Texas Counsel of Governments located in Kilgore, Texas. Say has committed the tort of patent infringement within the State of Texas and this District.

7. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400.

8. In 2006, Trover enforced the ‘345 and ‘346 Patent against two infringers, Diebold Corporation and Verint Systems, Inc. by bringing separate actions for patent infringement in the

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, in Case Nos. 2:06-cv-445-TJW-CE and 2:06-cv-532-TJW-CE. The Court held a claim construction hearing and issued a claim construction order in the Diebold case. The 445 and the 532 cases were completely resolved and dismissed. Trover and Security Center also filed an action for patent infringement against Tyco Integrated Security, LLC; Sensormatic, LLC; ADT, LLC; March Networks, Inc. and 3VR Security, Inc. in Case No. 2:13-cv-52, also in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division. The Court in that case held a claim construction hearing and issued a claim construction order construing the terms of the '345 and '346 Patents. That case has now been completely resolved and dismissed. Trover and Security Center further filed an action for patent infringement against Vicon Industries, Inc. in Case No. 2:14-cv-872 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

9. On May 12, 1998, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") issued the '345 and '346 Patents, entitled "Image Retention and Information Security System," after a full and fair examination. The '345 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store and retrieve images and related transaction data by the use of computer equipment and digital storage. The '346 Patent relates generally to video monitoring systems, and in particular to such systems that store images based on the detection of changes in the pixilation between images.

10. The '345 Patent includes three independent claims and six dependent claims, and the '346 Patent contains five independent and two dependent claims.

11. The '345 and '346 Patents was originally assigned to Dozier Financial Corporation, a company owned and controlled by Charles Dozier ("Dozier"), one of the named inventors of

both patents, and his family. Dozier Financial Corporation later changed its name to Trover Group, Inc. Plaintiff Trover is the successor-in-interest to Dozier Financial Corporation and is the assignee of all rights, title and interest in and to the '345 and '346 Patents and possesses all rights of recovery under the '345 and '346 Patents. Plaintiff Security Center is also a business owned and controlled by Dozier and his family that has been granted an exclusive license of the '345 and '346 Patent from Trover. Security Center manufactures and sells the IRIS DVS, IRIS Total Vision and EyzOn products, which are commercial embodiments of the '345 and '346 Patents. As the exclusive licensee of the '345 and '346 Patents, Security Center has the right to enforce each patent and to recover all damages available under law. Security Center also has the right to seek injunctive relief with respect to the '345 and '346 Patents.

Infringement of the '345 and '346 Patents

12. Say manufactures and sells to customers within the United States numerous digital cameras and video recording devices that infringe the '345 and/or '346 Patents, including but not limited to the following (collectively "Accused Products"): MCU-lite-SD; MCU-lite-D; MCU-SD; MCU-D; MCU-Pro; and the Witness Field Deployable CCTV System. In addition, Say also manufactures and sells Say Security Retail POS Software, which, when used in conjunction with other equipment, infringes the '345 Patent. To the extent that Say sells other products that are substantially similar to the products specifically listed above, such additional products are also included as Accused Products.

13. Say Security software connects a customer's closed circuit television system with its point-of-sale or ATM devices. This allows a customer to search video by corresponding transaction data. The Accused Products also include motion detection functionality so that recording of images can be triggered by the detection of motion.

14. Say assembles, tests, demonstrates and provides training on how to operate the Accused Products in the United States. For example, Say routinely participates in industry trade shows such as the ISC West trade show held every year in Las Vegas, Nevada, or the ASIS trade show, held at various locations throughout the United States. At these trade shows, Say has displayed one or more of the Accused Products and has provided demonstrations of the various functions and features of those products, including the motion detection feature. In addition, Say finalizes the assembly of all of its products in the United States. Upon information and belief, Say routinely tests the Accused Products in the United States to verify that the products operate as they are designed and intended. Say also offers training on all its products at its headquarters in Ada, Ohio. Upon information and belief, the training provided by Say includes training with respect to the operation of the motion detection features of the Accused Products.

15. The Accused Products the '345 and/or '346 Patents and compete with the IRIS line of products, and the EyzOn series of cameras made and sold by the Security Center.

Say Has Knowledge of the '345 and '346 Patents

16. The Security Center has consistently marked its IRIS DVS, IRIS Total Vision, and EyzOn products with the patent numbers for the '345 and '346 Patents since they first issued. The Security Center has publicly displayed its IRIS DVS and IRIS Total Vision products at numerous industry trade shows and conventions held at various locations through the years. The Security Center publicly displayed its EyzOn camera at the 2013 ISC West trade show and convention in Las Vegas in May of 2013. Say was also an exhibitor at the same trade show. Upon information and belief, employees or representatives from Say visited the Security Center's booth and would have seen the IRIS and EyzOn products, and a copy of the '345 and '346 Patents that were also on display.

17. The '345 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to at least 13 patent applications considered by the PTO. More significantly, the '346 Patent has been cited as prior art with respect to 68 patent applications.

18. Say has had actual knowledge of the '345 and '346 Patents since at least the date on which it was served with a copy of this Complaint.

COUNT ONE: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE '345 PATENT

19. Trover and the Security Center reallege paragraphs 1 through 18 herein.

20. Defendant Say has infringed and continues to infringe the '345 Patent by making, using, selling, or offering for sale in the United States, including in the Eastern District of Texas, systems, products and devices, and/or by undertaking processes and methods embodying the patented inventions without authority. By way of example, and without limitation, Say manufactures and sells within the United States the Accused Products identified above. Say also produces and sells software that combines CCTV systems and point-of-sale and/or ATM equipment so that transaction data can be captured and correlated along with images of transactions. By manufacturing, selling, and testing these products, Say directly infringes one or more of Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and/or 9 of the '345 Patent. Say also actively, intentionally and/or knowingly induces or contributes to the infringement of the '345 Patent by others.

21. Say's infringement of the '345 Patent has been and continues to be willful.

COUNT TWO: PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘346 PATENT

22. Trover and Security Center reallege paragraphs 1 through 21 herein.

23. By testing and demonstrating the operations and functionalities of the Accused Products in the United States, including but not limited to the motion detection feature or functionality, Say directly infringes one or more of Claims 4, 5, 6 and/or 7 of the ‘346 Patent, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents. In addition, by selling and offering to sell these products to customers in the United States, Say is actively, intentionally, and/or knowingly inducing or contributing to the infringement of one or more of Claims 4, 5, 6 and/or 7 the ‘346 Patent by others, either literally or through the doctrine of equivalents.

24. The Accused Products have no substantial uses that do not infringe the ‘346 Patent.

MISCELLANEOUS

25. The Plaintiffs have satisfied all conditions precedent to filing this action, or any such conditions that have not been satisfied have been waived.

26. Through this pleading, the Plaintiffs have not elected any one remedy to which they may be entitled, separately or collectively, over any other remedy.

RELIEF

Plaintiffs Trover and Security Center respectfully request the following relief:

- A. That the Court award damages to Plaintiffs Trover and Security Center to which each is entitled;
- B. That the Court declare this to be an “exceptional” case under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- C. That the Court award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on such damages at the highest rates allowed by law;

- D. That the Court award Plaintiffs Trover and Security Center their costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action; and
- E. That the Court award such other and further relief, at law or in equity, as the Court deems just and proper.

A JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED BY PLAINTIFFS TROVER GROUP, INC. AND SECURITY CENTER, INC.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Steven N. Williams

Steven N. Williams

swilliams@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas Bar No. 21577625

Kenneth P. Kula

kkula@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24004749

William Z. Duffy

zduffy@mcdolewilliams.com

Texas State Bar No. 24059697

McDOLE WILLIAMS

A Professional Corporation

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2750

Dallas, Texas 75201

(214) 979-1122 - Telephone

(214) 979-1123 – Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS